

GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN PRACTICES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

¹ Ms. Urvija Khatri

¹ Assistant Professor , Department of Management, Kalinga University , Raipur , C.G.
urvija.khatri@kalingauniversity.ac.in

² Mrs. Nishtha Sharma

² Assistant Professor , Department of Management, Kalinga University , Raipur , C.G.
nishtha.sharma@kalingauniversity.ac.in

Correspondence author:- urvija.khatri@kalingauniversity.ac.in

Abstract Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) has emerged as a critical strategic approach for organizations seeking to integrate environmental considerations into supply chain operations while maintaining economic competitiveness. The growing urgency of climate change, resource depletion, regulatory pressure, and stakeholder expectations has compelled firms to move beyond traditional supply chain efficiency models toward environmentally responsible practices. This paper provides a comprehensive analytical review of green supply chain practices and their role in achieving environmental sustainability. It examines conceptual foundations, major green practices across supply chain stages, environmental performance outcomes, drivers and barriers, and future directions. Using a synthesis of global empirical and theoretical literature, the study demonstrates that effective implementation of green procurement, green manufacturing, eco-design, sustainable logistics, and reverse logistics significantly reduces environmental impact while enhancing organizational resilience. The paper concludes that GSCM is not merely an operational tool but a strategic necessity for sustainable development.

Keywords: Green Supply Chain Management, Environmental Sustainability, Sustainable Procurement, Eco-Design, Reverse Logistics, Corporate Sustainability

1. Introduction

Environmental sustainability has become a defining challenge of the twenty-first century, directly influencing how organizations design, manage, and govern their supply chains. Traditional supply chain management focused primarily on cost reduction, speed, and efficiency, often neglecting environmental externalities such as carbon emissions, waste generation, and resource depletion. However, increasing awareness of environmental degradation, stricter environmental regulations, and heightened consumer consciousness have necessitated a paradigm shift toward greener and more responsible supply chain practices.

10.48047/jocaaa.2024.33.1A.71

Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) integrates environmental thinking into supply chain activities, including product design, material sourcing, manufacturing processes, delivery of final products, and end-of-life management.

The relevance of GSCM lies in its systemic approach. Environmental impacts are rarely confined to a single firm; rather, they arise from complex inter-organizational networks involving suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, retailers, and consumers. As a result, environmental sustainability cannot be achieved through isolated initiatives but requires coordinated action across the entire supply chain. Scholars and practitioners increasingly recognize that sustainability performance depends on collaboration, transparency, and innovation across supply chain partners.

This paper aims to provide a structured and comprehensive discussion of green supply chain practices and their contribution to environmental sustainability. It synthesizes existing research to identify key practices, evaluate their environmental outcomes, and explore the contextual factors influencing their adoption. By doing so, the paper contributes to a deeper understanding of how GSCM can support long-term ecological balance while creating organizational and societal value.

2. Conceptual Foundations of Green Supply Chain Management

Green Supply Chain Management is grounded in the broader discourse of sustainable development, which emphasizes meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. From a theoretical perspective, GSCM draws upon stakeholder theory, resource-based view (RBV), institutional theory, and systems theory. Stakeholder theory highlights the role of diverse actors—governments, customers, communities, and non-governmental organizations—in shaping environmental expectations. Firms adopting green supply chain practices often respond to these pressures to maintain legitimacy and trust.

The resource-based view explains GSCM as a source of competitive advantage. Environmentally responsible practices, when embedded in organizational routines and inter-firm relationships, become valuable, rare, and difficult to imitate capabilities. Green innovations in sourcing, production, and logistics can reduce waste, enhance efficiency, and improve brand reputation, thereby strengthening long-term performance. Institutional theory further explains how regulatory frameworks, industry norms, and cultural expectations influence the diffusion of green practices across supply chains.

10.48047/jocaaa.2024.33.1A.71

At its core, GSCM integrates environmental objectives with supply chain decision-making. This integration transforms the supply chain from a linear flow of materials into a closed-loop system that prioritizes resource efficiency, pollution prevention, and waste minimization. The concept of life-cycle thinking is central here, encouraging firms to assess environmental impacts from raw material extraction to product disposal. Thus, GSCM is both a managerial philosophy and an operational framework for achieving environmental sustainability.

3. Major Green Supply Chain Practices

Green supply chain practices encompass a wide range of activities implemented across different stages of the supply chain. Green procurement is often the starting point, involving the selection of suppliers based on environmental criteria such as compliance with environmental regulations, use of eco-friendly materials, and adoption of clean technologies. Through green procurement, organizations extend their environmental responsibility upstream, encouraging suppliers to improve their environmental performance.

Eco-design and green product development represent another critical practice. Eco-design focuses on minimizing environmental impact during the product design stage by reducing material usage, enhancing energy efficiency, and facilitating reuse and recycling. Products designed with environmental considerations not only reduce ecological footprints but also lower life-cycle costs.

Green manufacturing practices aim to reduce pollution and resource consumption during production. These include energy-efficient processes, cleaner production technologies, waste reduction initiatives, and the substitution of hazardous materials with environmentally benign alternatives. Sustainable logistics and distribution further contribute to environmental sustainability by optimizing transportation routes, using fuel-efficient vehicles, adopting alternative fuels, and reducing packaging waste.

Reverse logistics closes the loop by managing product returns, recycling, remanufacturing, and disposal. Effective reverse logistics systems enable firms to recover value from used products while reducing landfill waste and environmental pollution. The interrelationship among these practices highlights that environmental sustainability is achieved not through isolated actions but through an integrated green supply chain strategy.

Table 1 summarizes major green supply chain practices and their primary environmental objectives.

Table 1: Major Green Supply Chain Practices and Environmental Objectives

Green Supply Chain Practice	Description	Key Environmental Objective
Green Procurement	Environment-based supplier selection	Reduction of upstream environmental impact
Eco-Design	Environmentally conscious product design	Life-cycle impact minimization
Green Manufacturing	Cleaner and energy-efficient production	Pollution and waste reduction
Sustainable Logistics	Eco-friendly transportation and packaging	Emission and fuel consumption reduction
Reverse Logistics	Recycling and product recovery	Waste minimization and resource recovery

4. Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Environmental Sustainability

The implementation of green supply chain practices has a measurable and significant impact on environmental sustainability. Empirical studies consistently demonstrate reductions in carbon emissions, energy consumption, water usage, and waste generation among firms that actively adopt GSCM. Green procurement encourages environmentally responsible behavior among suppliers, leading to cumulative environmental benefits across the supply chain network. By setting environmental standards and monitoring supplier compliance, organizations can reduce upstream emissions and resource exploitation.

Eco-design and green manufacturing contribute directly to sustainability by reducing material intensity and energy requirements. Products designed for durability, modularity, and recyclability generate less waste and require fewer raw materials over their life cycle. Similarly, cleaner production technologies reduce toxic emissions and effluents, improving air and water quality. Sustainable logistics further amplifies these benefits by lowering transportation-related emissions, which constitute a substantial portion of supply chain carbon footprints.

Reverse logistics plays a crucial role in transitioning from a linear to a circular economy model. By recovering materials and components from end-of-life products, organizations reduce dependence on virgin resources and minimize environmental degradation. The environmental benefits of GSCM are therefore both direct, in terms of reduced pollution, and indirect, through the promotion of sustainable consumption and production patterns.

Table 2 presents key environmental performance indicators commonly used to assess the impact of green supply chain practices.

Table 2: Environmental Performance Indicators in Green Supply Chains

Indicator	Measurement Focus	Sustainability Contribution
Carbon Emissions	CO ₂ equivalent per unit output	Climate change mitigation
Energy Consumption	Energy use per production unit	Resource efficiency
Waste Generation	Solid and hazardous waste volume	Pollution reduction
Water Usage	Water consumption per process	Conservation of water resources
Recycling Rate	Percentage of materials recycled	Circular economy support

5. Drivers and Barriers to Green Supply Chain Implementation

The adoption of green supply chain practices is influenced by a combination of internal and external drivers. Regulatory pressure remains one of the strongest motivators, as governments worldwide enforce stricter environmental standards and compliance requirements. Market-based drivers, including customer demand for sustainable products and pressure from environmentally conscious investors, further encourage firms to adopt GSCM. Internally, organizational commitment, top management support, and environmental awareness among employees play a critical role in successful implementation.

Despite these drivers, several barriers hinder the widespread adoption of green supply chain practices. High initial investment costs, lack of technical expertise, and insufficient supplier capabilities often discourage firms, particularly small and medium enterprises. In developing economies, weak regulatory enforcement and limited access to green technologies further exacerbate these challenges. Resistance to change and inadequate information sharing across supply chain partners can also undermine collaborative green initiatives.

Understanding these drivers and barriers is essential for designing effective strategies to promote GSCM. Policymakers, industry associations, and organizations must work collectively to create supportive environments that facilitate knowledge transfer, financial incentives, and capacity building for sustainable supply chain transformation.

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

Green Supply Chain Management represents a critical pathway toward achieving environmental sustainability in an increasingly interconnected and resource-constrained world. This paper has demonstrated that integrating green practices across procurement, production, logistics, and end-of-life management significantly reduces environmental impacts while enhancing organizational resilience and long-term performance. GSCM should therefore be viewed not as an optional initiative but as a strategic imperative aligned with global sustainability goals.

Future research should focus on quantitative assessment models linking green supply chain practices to environmental and financial performance across different industries and regions. There is also a need for deeper exploration of digital technologies, such as blockchain and artificial intelligence, in enhancing transparency and traceability in green supply chains. As sustainability challenges intensify, the evolution of GSCM will play a decisive role in shaping sustainable industrial and economic systems.

References

1. Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions for green and sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 329–341.
2. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387.
3. Sarkis, J. (2012). A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management. *Supply Chain Management*, 17(2), 202–216.
4. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2008). Green supply chain management implications for closing the loop. *Transportation Research Part E*, 44(1), 1–18.
5. Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 53–80.
6. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710.
7. Govindan, K., et al. (2015). Drivers and barriers for green manufacturing. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 98, 122–134.
8. Testa, F., & Iraldo, F. (2010). Shadows and lights of GSCM. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(10), 953–962.

9. Klassen, R. D., & Johnson, P. F. (2004). The green supply chain. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(4), 309–330.
10. Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2006). Extending green practices across the supply chain. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(7), 795–821.
11. Green, K. W., et al. (2012). The impact of environmental collaboration. *Supply Chain Management*, 17(2), 163–177.
12. Rao, P., & Holt, D. (2005). Do green supply chains lead to competitiveness? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(9), 898–916.
13. Diabat, A., & Govindan, K. (2011). An analysis of drivers for GSCM. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 55(6), 659–667.
14. Luthra, S., et al. (2016). An integrated framework for GSCM. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 125, 35–52.
15. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(3), 265–289.
16. Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). Building a more complete theory of sustainable supply chains. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(2), 37–56.
17. Walker, H., et al. (2008). Drivers and barriers to environmental supply chain management. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 14(1), 69–85.
18. Eltayeb, T. K., et al. (2011). GSCM practices and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 131(2), 418–427.
19. Dubey, R., et al. (2017). Green supply chain and organizational performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 190, 30–44.
20. Beske, P., et al. (2014). Sustainable supply chain management practices. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 34(10), 1317–1355.
21. Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management*. Pearson.
22. Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Green and competitive. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(5), 120–134.
23. Mentzer, J. T., et al. (2001). Defining supply chain management. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 22(2), 1–25.
24. ISO. (2015). *ISO 14001 Environmental management systems*.
25. UNEP. (2011). *Towards a green economy*.
26. OECD. (2018). *Global material resources outlook*.
27. World Economic Forum. (2020). *The future of sustainable supply chains*.

10.48047/jocaaa.2024.33.1A.71

28. Hoekstra, A. Y., & Wiedmann, T. O. (2014). Humanity's unsustainable environmental footprint. *Science*, 344(6188), 1114–1117.
29. Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2000). Why companies go green. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 717–736.
30. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line.
31. Kumar, S., & Putnam, V. (2008). Cradle-to-cradle recycling. *International Journal of Production Research*, 46(20), 5519–5539.
32. Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). An organizational theoretic review of GSCM. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130(1), 1–15.